



AF/22W

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

## TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number	09/536,053
Filing Date	March 27, 2000
First Named Inventor	Michael K. Just
Art Unit	2132
Examiner Name	Kambiz Zand
Attorney Docket Number	0500.9912151

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	
<input type="checkbox"/> Remarks	

### SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name	Vedder, Price, Kaufman & Kammholz, P.C.		
Signature			
Printed name	Christopher J. Reckamp		
Date	2-14-06	Reg. No.	34,414

### CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature			
Typed or printed name	Christine A. Wright	Date	2-14-06

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Michael K. Just  
Serial No.: 09/536,053  
Filing Date: March 27, 2000  
Confirmation No.: 5651

Examiner: Kambiz Zand  
Art Unit: 2132  
Our File No.: 10500.00.2151  
Docket No.: 0500.9912151

**Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PROVIDING INFORMATION SECURITY TO PREVENT DIGITAL SIGNATURE FORGERY**

Mail Stop AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

*Certificate of First Class Mailing*  
I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this date.

2-14-06  
Date

Christine Wright  
Christine Wright

**RESPONSE**

Dear Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action mailed November 21, 2005, Applicant responds as follows:

**REMARKS**

Applicant respectfully traverses and requests reconsideration.

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the notice that claims 40-44 have been allowed and that claims 9, 11, 13, 15, 19, 28 and 37 would be allowed if written in independent form.

Remaining claims 1-7, 10, 12, 14, 17, 18, 20-25 and 29-35 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan et al. in view of Schmeidler et al. and further in view of Bisbee et al. In the "Response to Arguments" of the final action, there does not appear to be any response to Applicant's arguments with respect to the Chan reference nor to the requested showings requested by Applicant in the previous responses. For example, the cited portion of